# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.,

Case No. 6:21-cv-615

Plaintiff,

Patent Case

v.

Jury Trial Demanded

**Fujifilm Corporation**,

Defendant.

# COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

1. Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. ("Plaintiff"), through its attorneys, complains of Fujifilm Corporation ("Defendant"), and alleges the following:

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada that maintains its principal place of business at 560 Baker Street, Suite 1, Nelson, BC V1L 4H9.
- 3. Defendant Fujifilm Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Japan that maintains an established place of business at 7-3, Akasaka 9-chome, Minatoku, Tokyo 107-0052, Japan.

## **JURISDICTION**

4. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code.

- 5. This Court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has engaged in systematic and continuous business activities in this District. As described below, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement giving rise to this action within this District.

## VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because Defendant is a foreign corporation. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of patent infringement in this District, and Plaintiff has suffered harm in this district.

# PATENTS-IN-SUIT

8. Plaintiff is the assignee of all right, title and interest in United States Patent Nos. 6,783,581; 6,873,743; 6,972,790; 7,088,855; 7,292,261; 8,031,223; 8,073,250; and 8,537,242 (the "Patents-in-Suit"); including all rights to enforce and prosecute actions for infringement and to collect damages for all relevant times against infringers of the Patents-in-Suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff possesses the exclusive right and standing to prosecute the present action for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit by Defendant.

## THE '581 PATENT

9. The '581 Patent is entitled "Ink-jet ink comprising a glycol compound with grafts," and issued 2004-08-31. The application leading to the '581 Patent was filed on 2002-10-29. A true and correct copy of the '581 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

## **THE '743 PATENT**

10. The '743 Patent is entitled "Method and apparatus for the automatic real-time detection and correction of red-eye defects in batches of digital images or in handheld

appliances," and issued 2005-03-29. The application leading to the '743 Patent was filed on 2002-03-29. A true and correct copy of the '743 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2 and incorporated herein by reference.

## THE '790 PATENT

11. The '790 Patent is entitled "Host interface for imaging arrays," and issued 2005-12-06. The application leading to the '790 Patent was filed on 2000-12-21. A true and correct copy of the '790 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3 and incorporated herein by reference.

# THE '855 PATENT

12. The '855 Patent is entitled "Method and system for removal of red eye effects," and issued 2006-08-08. The application leading to the '855 Patent was filed on 2001-01-22. A true and correct copy of the '855 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 4 and incorporated herein by reference.

## THE '261 PATENT

13. The '261 Patent is entitled "Virtual reality camera," and issued 2007-11-06. The application leading to the '261 Patent was filed on 1999-08-20. A true and correct copy of the '261 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 5 and incorporated herein by reference.

# THE '223 PATENT

14. The '223 Patent is entitled "Virtual reality camera," and issued 2011-10-04. The application leading to the '223 Patent was filed on 2006-08-31. A true and correct copy of the '223 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 6 and incorporated herein by reference.

# **THE '250 PATENT**

15. The '250 Patent is entitled "Method and system for removal of red eye effects," and issued 2011-12-06. The application leading to the '250 Patent was filed on 2007-12-04. A

true and correct copy of the '250 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 7 and incorporated herein by reference.

# THE '242 PATENT

16. The '242 Patent is entitled "Host interface for imaging arrays," and issued 2013-09-17. The application leading to the '242 Patent was filed on 2005-10-27. A true and correct copy of the '242 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 8 and incorporated herein by reference.

# COUNT 1: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '581 PATENT

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- or more claims of the '581 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '581 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '581 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '581 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 19. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '581 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 20. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

- 21. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '581 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '581 Patent. See Exhibit 9 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).
- 22. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '581 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '581 Patent.
- 23. Exhibit 9 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '581 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '581 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '581 Patent Claims.
- 24. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 9.
- 25. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### COUNT 2: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '743 PATENT

26. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

- 27. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '743 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '743 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '743 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '743 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 28. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 29. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 30. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '743 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '743 Patent. See Exhibit 10 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

- 31. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '743 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '743 Patent.
- 32. Exhibit 10 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '743 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '743 Patent Claims.
- 33. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 10.
- 34. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

# **COUNT 3: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '790 PATENT**

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- or more claims of the '790 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '790 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '790 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the

'790 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

- 37. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '790 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 38. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 39. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '790 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '790 Patent. See Exhibit 11 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).
- 40. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '790 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '790 Patent.
- 41. Exhibit 11 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '790 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products

practice the technology claimed by the '790 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '790 Patent Claims.

- 42. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 11.
- 43. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

## **COUNT 4: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '855 PATENT**

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 45. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '855 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '855 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '855 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '855 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 46. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '855 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 47. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.

- 48. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '855 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '855 Patent. See Exhibit 12 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).
- 49. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '855 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '855 Patent.
- 50. Exhibit 12 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '855 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '855 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '855 Patent Claims.
- 51. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 12.
- 52. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

#### COUNT 5: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '261 PATENT

53. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.

- 54. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant directly infringed one or more claims of the '261 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringed at least the exemplary claims of the '261 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '261 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringed the claims of the '261 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 55. Defendant also directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '261 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 56. Exhibit 13 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '261 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '261 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '261 Patent Claims.
- 57. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 13.
- 58. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

## COUNT 6: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '223 PATENT

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 60. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '223 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell,

selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '223 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '223 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '223 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

- 61. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '223 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 62. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 63. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '223 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '223 Patent. See Exhibit 14 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).
- 64. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '223 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling

Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '223 Patent.

- 65. Exhibit 14 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '223 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '223 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '223 Patent Claims.
- 66. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 14.
- 67. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

# **COUNT 7: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '250 PATENT**

- 68. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- or more claims of the '250 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '250 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '250 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '250 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.

- 70. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '250 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 71. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 72. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '250 Patent. On information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '250 Patent. See Exhibit 15 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).
- 73. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '250 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '250 Patent.
- 74. Exhibit 15 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '250 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '250 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '250 Patent Claims.

- 75. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 15.
- 76. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

## **COUNT 8: INFRINGEMENT OF THE '242 PATENT**

- 77. Plaintiff incorporates the above paragraphs herein by reference.
- 78. **Direct Infringement**. Defendant has been and continues to directly infringe one or more claims of the '242 Patent in at least this District by making, using, offering to sell, selling and/or importing, without limitation, at least the Defendant products identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (among the "Exemplary Defendant Products") that infringe at least the exemplary claims of the '242 Patent also identified in the charts incorporated into this Count below (the "Exemplary '242 Patent Claims") literally or by the doctrine of equivalents. On information and belief, numerous other devices that infringe the claims of the '242 Patent have been made, used, sold, imported, and offered for sale by Defendant and/or its customers.
- 79. Defendant also has and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, the Exemplary '242 Patent Claims, by having its employees internally test and use these Exemplary Products.
- 80. **Actual Knowledge of Infringement**. The service of this Complaint, in conjunction with the attached claim charts and references cited, constitutes actual knowledge of infringement as alleged here.
- 81. Despite such actual knowledge, Defendant continues to make, use, test, sell, offer for sale, market, and/or import into the United States, products that infringe the '242 Patent. On

information and belief, Defendant has also continued to sell the Exemplary Defendant Products and distribute product literature and website materials inducing end users and others to use its products in the customary and intended manner that infringes the '242 Patent. See Exhibit 16 (extensively referencing these materials to demonstrate how they direct end users to commit patent infringement).

- 82. **Induced Infringement**. At least since being served by this Complaint and corresponding claim charts, Defendant has actively, knowingly, and intentionally continued to induce infringement of the '242 Patent, literally or by the doctrine of equivalents, by selling Exemplary Defendant Products to their customers for use in end-user products in a manner that infringes one or more claims of the '242 Patent.
- 83. Exhibit 16 includes charts comparing the Exemplary '242 Patent Claims to the Exemplary Defendant Products. As set forth in these charts, the Exemplary Defendant Products practice the technology claimed by the '242 Patent. Accordingly, the Exemplary Defendant Products incorporated in these charts satisfy all elements of the Exemplary '242 Patent Claims.
- 84. Plaintiff therefore incorporates by reference in its allegations herein the claim charts of Exhibit 16.
- 85. Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement.

## **JURY DEMAND**

86. Under Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- A. A judgment that the '581 Patent is valid and enforceable
- B. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '581 Patent;
- C. A judgment that the '743 Patent is valid and enforceable
- D. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '743 Patent;
- E. A judgment that the '790 Patent is valid and enforceable
- F. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '790 Patent;
- G. A judgment that the '855 Patent is valid and enforceable
- H. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '855 Patent;
- I. A judgment that the '261 Patent is valid and enforceable
- J. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly one or more claims of the '261
   Patent;
- K. A judgment that the '223 Patent is valid and enforceable
- L. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '223 Patent;
- M. A judgment that the '250 Patent is valid and enforceable
- N. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '250 Patent;
- O. A judgment that the '242 Patent is valid and enforceable

- P. A judgment that Defendant has infringed directly and indirectly one or more claims of the '242 Patent;
- Q. An accounting of all damages not presented at trial;
- R. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's continuing or future infringement, up until the date such judgment is entered with respect to the '581; '743; '790; '855; '223; '250; and '242 Patents, including pre- or post-judgment interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- S. A judgment that awards Plaintiff all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 for Defendant's past infringement at least with respect to the '261 Patent.
- T. And, if necessary, to adequately compensate Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, an accounting:
  - that this case be declared exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285
    and that Plaintiff be awarded its reasonable attorneys fees against Defendant
    that it incurs in prosecuting this action;
  - ii. that Plaintiff be awarded costs, and expenses that it incurs in prosecuting this action; and
  - iii. that Plaintiff be awarded such further relief at law or in equity as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 15, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Isaac Rabicoff
Isaac Rabicoff
Rabicoff Law LLC
5680 King Centre Dr, Suite 645
Alexandria, VA 22315

7736694590 isaac@rabilaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Cedar Lane Technologies Inc.