

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**LINFO IP LLC,  
Plaintiff,**

**Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-00636**

**v.**

**STAPLES, INC.,  
Defendant**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Linfo IP, LLC (“Linfo”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,092,428 (“the ’428 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Staples, Inc. (“Defendant” or “Staples”).

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Linfo IP, LLC is a Texas limited liability corporation with its principal place of business located in Austin, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Staples is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a regular and established place of business located at 3333 Preston Rd, Frisco, TX 75034. On information and belief, Staples sells and offers to sell products and services throughout Texas, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they would be sold in Texas and this judicial district. Staples can be served with process through their registered agent, Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC-Lawyers Incorporating Service Company, 211 E. 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701, at its place of business, or anywhere else it may be found.

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress relating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Texas and this judicial district; (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State of Texas and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Texas and in this judicial district.

5. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b). Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and this District.

### **III. INFRINGEMENT**

#### **A. Infringement of the '428 Patent**

6. On July 28, 2015, U.S. Patent No. 9,092,428 ("the '428 patent", included as Exhibit A and part of this complaint) entitled "System, methods and user interface for discovering and presenting information in text content" was duly and legally issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the '428 patent by assignment.

7. The '428 patent relates to system and methods for discovering information in a text content, and provides users with interface objects to act on the discovered information, such as extracting, displaying or hiding, or highlighting or un-highlighting words or phrases in a text content.

8. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers a system with methods and user interface for discovering information in a text content and extracting and presenting the information that infringes one or more of claims of the '428 patent, including one or more of claims 1-20, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant puts the inventions claimed by the '428 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions, the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and commercial benefit from it.

9. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the preliminary exemplary table attached as Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

10. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., discovering information in a text content and extracting and presenting the information) such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-20 of the '428 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '428 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>1</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

11. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., discovering information in a text content and extracting and presenting the information) and related services such as to cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-20 of the '428 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '428 patent and the technology underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>2</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this complaint.

12. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '428 patent.

#### **IV. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

13. Plaintiff is a non-practicing entity, with no products to mark. Plaintiff has plead all statutory requirements to obtain pre-suit damages. Further, all conditions precedent for recovery are met.

#### **V. JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

#### **VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '428 patent;
- b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's infringement of the Patent-in-suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

---

<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

- c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- e. declare Defendant’s infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates, divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from infringing the claims of the Patent-in-suit, or (ii) awards damages for future infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will be willful as a matter of law; and
- g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

**Ramey LLP**

*/s/ William P. Ramey, III*  
William P. Ramey, III  
Texas Bar No. 24027643  
[wramey@rameyfirm.com](mailto:wramey@rameyfirm.com)

Jeffrey E. Kubiak  
Texas Bar No. 24028470  
[jkubiak@rameyfirm.com](mailto:jkubiak@rameyfirm.com)

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
Houston, Texas 77006

(713) 426-3923 (telephone)  
(832) 900-4941 (fax)

*Attorneys for LINFO IP, LLC.*